From:	Hallie Futterman - WPIA
To:	Danny Mapili - WPD-C
Cc:	Philip Mudd; Terry Lamb Sr.; Todd Williams; tony.lopacki@gsa.gov
Subject:	Re: UNICOR and consolidated appropriations act
Date:	Tuesday, March 29, 2016 4:29:41 PM

At that time of acquisition planning, Unicor was not an option under the FIT program. I think it is now...

I believe there were some requirements for the furniture as well that Unicor could not meet, such as certain dimensions, but Lynn knows the furniture requirements better than me. I would trust what she says.

Thanks

--
Hallie Futterman, AIA, NCARB, LEED AP
Project Manager
Design & Construction
Public Buildings Service
National Capital Region
U.S. General Services Administration
301 7th Street., SW,
Room 2130
Washington, DC 20407
phone 202.570.2071

On Tue, Mar 29, 2016 at 4:27 PM, Danny Mapili - WPD-C <danny.mapili@gsa.gov> wrote:

> To add to Hallie's comment, initially we were using FAS FIT, and I don't think (but I could be wrong), UNICOR was one of the option under the FAS FIT program.
>
> Danny C. Mapili
> Project Manager
> Lease Projects Division
> Office of Design and Construction
> NCR-PBS
> U.S. General Services Administration
> P 202-219-0376 | M 703-678-8289
>
> On Tue, Mar 29, 2016 at 4:24 PM, Hallie Futterman - WPIA <hallie.futterman@gsa.gov> wrote:
>
> > Nothing has been written up in the past, but I'm sure Lynn at Perkins & Will could give you some bullet points as to why we are not using Unicor. I would think that the rigorous installation schedule would be one reason.
> >
> > We are using Unicor for the swing space.
> >
> > Thanks

--
Hallie Futterman, AIA, NCARB, LEED AP
Project Manager
Design & Construction
Public Buildings Service
National Capital Region
U.S. General Services Administration
301 7th Street., SW,
Room 2130
Washington, DC 20407
phone 202.570.2071

On Tue, Mar 29, 2016 at 3:51 PM, Philip Mudd <Philip.Mudd@ferc.gov> wrote:

> Do you or does Perkins & Will have historical information regarding why we are not going with UNICOR?
>
> **From:** Danny Mapili - WPD-C [mailto:danny.mapili@gsa.gov]
> **Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 2:10 PM
> **To:** Philip Mudd
> **Cc:** Terry Lamb Sr.; Todd Williams; tony.lopacki@gsa.gov; Hallie Futterman - WPIA
> **Subject:** Re: UNICOR and consolidated appropriations act
>
> Philip,
>
> This will be a FERC write up. Furniture is a personal property. Thanks.
>
> On Tuesday, March 29, 2016, Philip Mudd <Philip.Mudd@ferc.gov> wrote:
>
> To what extent, have we considered UNICOR for the entire project?
>
> We need to write a document for the file In sufficient detail why we are not going with UNICOR? With respect to price, quality, and time of delivery etc

8.602 -- Policy.

(a) In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2410n and Section 637 of Division H of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (Pub. L. 108-447)(18 U.S.C. 4124 note), and except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, agencies shall--

(1) Before purchasing an item of supply listed in the FPI Schedule, conduct market research to determine whether the FPI item is comparable to supplies available from the private sector that best meet the Government's needs in terms of price, quality, and time of delivery. This is a unilateral determination made at the discretion of the contracting officer. The arbitration provisions of 18 U.S.C. 4124(b) do not apply;

(2) Prepare a written determination that includes supporting rationale explaining the assessment of price, quality, and time of delivery, based on the results of market research comparing the FPI item to supplies available from the private sector;

--
Thx,
Danny Mapili
Sent from GSA Gmail Mobile